## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FILED FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW MEXICO ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO

DELFINO PEDROZA and LILIANA ANDRADE,

Plaintiffs,

VS.

MATTHEW J. DYKMAN

No. CIV 07-0591 JB/RHS

LOMAS AUTO MALL, INC.; M.D. LOHMAN, d/b/a LOHMAN MOTORS; USAA CASUALTY INSURANCE COMPANY d/b/a USAA; and INDEPENDENT AUTO DEALERS SERVICE CORPORATION, LTD.

Defendants.

## **SPECIAL VERDICT FORM**

## **Motor Vehicle Information and Cost Savings Act**

<del></del>	Yes	XNo	#15 #36			
•		es," answer question," skip to question #				
Was this conduct of Defendant Lomas Auto Mall, Inc. a cause of any of Plaintiffs' dan					of Plaintiffs' damage	s?
	Yes	No				
	e Plaintiffs prove				n d/b/a Lohman Moto ct?	ors -

Was this conduct of Defendant M.D. Lohman d/b/a Lohman Motors a cause of any of Plaintiffs' damages?
Yes No
Fraud
Have Plaintiffs proven their claim for fraud against the Defendant Lomas Auto Mall, Inc.?
Yes
If your answer is "Yes," answer question #6. If you answer is "No," skip to question #7.
Was any fraudulent conduct of Defendant Lomas Auto Mall, Inc. a cause of any of Plaintiffs' damages?
Yes No
Have Plaintiffs proven their claim for fraud against the Defendant M.D. Lohman d/b/a Lohman Motors?
If your answer is "Yes," answer question #8. If you answer is "No," skip to question #9.
Was any fraudulent conduct of Defendant M.D. Lohman d/b/a Lohman Motors a cause of any of Plaintiffs' damages?
Have Plaintiffs proven their claim for fraud against the Defendant USAA Casualty Insurance Company d/b/a USAA?
Yes X No #20
If your answer is "Yes," answer question #10.  If you answer is "No," skip to question #11.
Was the fraudulent conduct of Defendant USAA Casualty Insurance Company d/b/a USAA a cause of any of Plaintiffs' damages?
Yes No

Have Plaintiffs proven their Service Corporation, Ltd.?		d against the Defendant Independent Auto Dealers ずの?
Yes	No	446
If your answer is "Yes," as If you answer is "No," ski	-	
Was any fraudulent condu Ltd. a cause of any of Plair		t Independent Auto Dealers Service Corporation, s?
Yes	No	,
	<u>Unfair Pr</u>	actices Act
Have Plaintiffs proven the of the Unfair Practices Act	ir claim again t?	st Defendant Lomas Auto Mall, Inc. for violation
Yes	_XNo	#40 Rev #43
If your answer is "Yes," as If you answer is "No," skip Was this conduct of Defende	p to question #	
Yes	No	
Did Defendant Lomas Aut	to Mall, Inc. ac	t willfully in violating the Unfair Practices Act?
Yes	No	
Have Plaintiffs proven the for violation of the Unfair		st Defendant M.D. Lohman d/b/a Lohman Motors
Yes	No	
If your answer is "Yes," ar If you answer is "No," skip		
Was this conduct of Defe Plaintiffs' damages?	endant M.D. L	ohman d/b/a Lohman Motors a cause of any of
X Ves	No	

X	Yes _	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	No
		-	insurance Company d/b/a USAA's violation of the Uaintiffs' damages?
<del></del>	Yes _	X	_ No
Did Defendar the Unfair Pra		-	nsurance Company d/b/a USAA act willfully in vio
<u></u>	Yes _	X_	_ No
	•		m against Defendant Independent Auto Dealers So the Unfair Practices Act?
If your answe	r is "Yes,	" answer q	uestion #22 and 23.
If you answer Was this cond	er is "Yes," is "No," duct of De	" answer q skip to que fendant Inc	uestion #22 and 23.
If your answer If you answer Was this cond	er is "Yes," is "No," duct of De ntiffs' dan	" answer q skip to que fendant Inc nages?	question #22 and 23, estion #24. dependent Auto Dealers Service Corporation, Ltd. a
If your answer If you answer Was this cond of any of Plai	er is "Yes," is "No," luct of Dentiffs' dan Yes	" answer queskip to que fendant Incanages?	question #22 and 23, estion #24. dependent Auto Dealers Service Corporation, Ltd. a
If your answer If you answer Was this cond of any of Plai	er is "Yes," is "No," duct of Dentiffs' dan Yes at Independentices Ac	" answer queskip to que fendant Incanages?	question #22 and 23. estion #24. dependent Auto Dealers Service Corporation, Ltd. a No Dealers Service Corporation, Ltd. act willfully in vio
If your answer If you answer Was this cond of any of Plai Did Defendanthe Unfair Pra	er is "Yes," is "No," duct of Dentiffs' dan Yes at Independentices Ac	" answer quality and the skip to quality and the skip to quality and the skip and t	question #22 and 23. estion #24. dependent Auto Dealers Service Corporation, Ltd. a No Dealers Service Corporation, Ltd. act willfully in vio
If your answer If you answer Was this cond of any of Plai Did Defendanthe Unfair Pra	er is "Yes," is "No," duct of Dentiffs' dan Yes at Independentices Ac Yes fs proven tle?	" answer queskip to quested fendant Incomages?  dent Autolot?  Breach	question #22 and 23. estion #24. dependent Auto Dealers Service Corporation, Ltd. a No Dealers Service Corporation, Ltd. act willfully in vio No

25.	Was this conduct of Defendant Lomas Auto Mall, Inc. a cause of any of Plaintiffs' damages?
26.	Have Plaintiffs proven their claim against Defendant M.D. Lohman d/b/a Lohman Motors for breach of warranty of title?
	YesXNo
	If your answer is "Yes," answer question #27. If you answer is "No," skip to question #28.
	Was this conduct of Defendant M.D. Lohman d/b/a Lohman Motors a cause of any of Plaintiffs' damages?
	YesNo
	Joint Enterprise
	Do you find that there was a joint enterprise between Lomas Auto Mall, Inc. and M.D. Lohman d/b/a Lohman Motors?  Yes No
	Civil Conspiracy
	Do you find that there was a civil conspiracy between Lomas Auto Mall, Inc. and M.D. Lohman d/b/a Lohman Motors to violate the Motor Vehicle Information and Cost Savings Act?  Yes  No
	Do you find that there was a civil conspiracy to commit fraud among Lomas Auto Mall, Inc., M.D. Lohman d/b/a Lohman Motors, and/or USAA Casualty Insurance Company d/b/a USAA?
	YesXNo
von	r answer to question 30 is "ves." answer questions 31

31.		Make a check mark in the box next to name of any Defendant that you find participated in the civil conspiracy:				
		Lomas Auto Mall, Inc.				
		M.D. Lohman d/b/a Lohman Motors				
		USAA Casualty Insurance Company d/b/a USAA				
32.	d/b/a	bu find that there was a civil conspiracy among Lomas Auto Mall, Inc., M.D. Lohman Lohman Motors, and/or USAA Casualty Insurance Company d/b/a USAA to violate infair Practices Act?				
		YesNo				
	If you	r answer to question 32 is "yes," answer question 33.				
33.		Make a check mark in the box next to name of any Defendant that you find participated in the civil conspiracy:				
		Lomas Auto Mall, Inc.				
		M.D. Lohman d/b/a Lohman Motors				
		USAA Casualty Insurance Company d/b/a USAA				
34.	•	ou find that there was a civil conspiracy between Lomas Auto Mall, Inc. and M.D. and d/b/a Lohman Motors to breach the warranty of title?				
		Yes				
		Compensatory Damages				
		estion #35 only if you answered "Yes" to question 6, OR to question 8, OR to OR to question 12.				
35.	We fi	nd that Plaintiffs paid more than the Sierra was worth, in the amount of:  2 4992 - 15000 = 9,942,00				
Ansv	ver Que	estion #36 only if you answered "Yes" to question 2, OR to question 4, OR to				

Answer Question #36 only if you answered "Yes" to question 2, OR to question 4, OR to question 17, OR to question 19, OR to question 22.

36.	If you decide the Plaintiffs are entitled to any compensatory damages for humiliation and aggravation, the amount of damages to which they are entitled to fully compensate for humiliation and aggravation is:
	s_2,500.00
8, OR	er Question #37 through #39 only if you answered "Yes" to question 6, OR to question to question 10, OR to question 12, OR to question 14, OR to question 17, OR to question R to question 22, OR to question 25, OR to question 27.
37.	If you decide that the Plaintiffs are entitled to any compensatory damages for loss of use of the Sierra, the amount of damages to which they are entitled to fully compensate for loss of use of the Sierra is: $79\% \times 4 = 31\%.00$
	\$3196.00
38.	If you decide the Plaintiffs are entitled to any compensatory damages for the cost of replacement transportation, the amount of damages to which they are entitled to fully compensate for the cost of replacement transportation is:  \$
39.	If you decide the Plaintiffs are entitled to any compensatory damages for out-of-pocket expenses, the amount of damages to which they are entitled to fully compensate for out-of-pocket expenses is:
	<u>\$ 14.95</u>
	Punitive Damages
	er question 40 only if you answered "yes" to question 6 and awarded any compensatory ges against Defendant Lomas Auto Mall, Inc. because it committed fraud.
40	Did Defendant Lomas Auto Mall, Inc. act maliciously, recklessly, wantonly or fraudulently in committing fraud?
	Yes No
4	If you answered "yes" to question #40 and wish to award punitive damages against Defendant Lomas Auto Mall, Inc., how much do you wish to award in punitive damages for fraud against this Defendant?
	\$

Ansv dama	ver question 42 only if you answered "yes" to question 8 and awarded any compensatory ages against Defendant M.D. Lohman d/b/a Lohman Motors because it committed fraud.
42.	Did Defendant M.D. Lohman d/b/a Lohman Motors act maliciously, recklessly, wantonly or fraudulently in committing fraud?
43.	If you answered "yes" to question #42 and wish to award punitive damages against Defendant M.D. Lohman d/b/a Lohman Motors, how much do you wish to award in punitive damages for fraud against this Defendant?
	\$ 33,000.00
dama	ver question 44 only if you answered "yes" to question 10 and awarded any compensatory ages against Defendant USAA Casualty Insurance Company d/b/a USAA because it nitted fraud.
<b>4</b> 4.	Did Defendant USAA Casualty Insurance Company d/b/a USAA act maliciously, recklessly, wantonly or fraudulently in committing fraud?
	Yes No
<b>M</b> .	If you answered "yes" to question #44 and wish to award punitive damages against Defendant USAA Casualty Insurance Company d/b/a USAA, how much do you wish to award in punitive damages for fraud against this Defendant?
	\$
dama	ver question 46 only if you answered "yes" to question 12 and awarded any compensatory ages against Defendant Independent Auto Dealers Service Corporation, Ltd. because it nitted fraud.
46.	Did Defendant Independent Auto Dealers Service Corporation, Ltd. act maliciously, recklessly, wantonly or fraudulently in committing fraud?
	Yes No
4.	If you answered "yes" to question #46 and wish to award punitive damages against Defendant Independent Auto Dealers Service Corporation, Ltd., how much do you wish to award in punitive damages for fraud against this Defendant?

## Case 1:07-cv-00591-JB-RHS Document 461 Filed 05/28/09 Page 9 of 9

i ou nave completed your deliberations.	Have your foreperson sign this form, and you i	may return
to open court.		•

Date: <u>May 28</u> 2009

Foreperson